

ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL		Date
		9-22-83
To: (Name, office symbol, room number, building, Agency/Post)	Initials	Date
1. ADDA	<i>[Signature]</i>	3 OCT 1983
2. EO/DOA	<i>[Signature]</i>	17 OCT 1983
3. <i>[Redacted]</i>	DDA/MS <i>[Signature]</i>	11 OCT
4. Registry - File		
5.		

Action	File	Note and Return
Approval	For Clearance	For Conversation
As Requested	For Correction	Prepare Reply
Circulate	For Your Information	See Me
Comment	Investigate	Signature
Coordination	Justify	

REMARKS

*Jim,*  
 I met today with D/oc, DD/oc,  
 D/oor : DD/oor and asked that  
 they knock off the hickering &  
 square away the turf problems.  
 Will see what happens.

DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, concurrences, disposals, clearances, and similar actions

FROM: (Name, org. symbol, Agency/Post)	Room No.—Bldg.
	Phone No.

5041-102

★ GPO : 1981 O - 361-529 (148)

OPTIONAL FORM 41 (Rev. 7-76)  
 Prescribed by GSA  
 FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.206

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Office of Communications and Office of Data Processing Regulations

FROM:		EXTENSION		NO.	STAT
Director of Data Processing 2D00, Hqs.				DD/A Registry	
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)		DATE		DATE	STAT
		RECEIVED	FORWARDED	OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)
1. DDA 7D18 Hqs.	8 AUG 1983	✓	✓		TO-1 - I think a day in the country with these chops may be worthwhile
2. A1 DDA	8 AUG 1983				
3. DDA	10 AUG 1983				
4.					
5.					
6.					
7.					
8.					
9.					
10.					
11.					
12.					
13.					
14.					
15.					

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT

STAT

9 AUG 1983

D/A REGISTRY  
FILE: 1-5

83-1460

83-1960

ODP-83-1123

3 AUG 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for Administration

FROM:

[REDACTED]

Director of Data Processing

STAT

SUBJECT: Office of Communications and Office of Data  
Processing Regulations

1. With the introduction of the Information Systems Board, there is a great deal of ferment in the whole area of information handling which could impact the interrelationship between the Office of Communications and the Office of Data Processing. Clearly, the two offices are becoming more closely interwoven. For example, [REDACTED] relied on information from IBM and from DEC to present a briefing to the ISB on a local area network (LAN) designed for data transmission within the new building. The LAN, almost by definition, belongs to both offices.

STAT

2. ODP has been requested to comment on a proposed revision of [REDACTED] which describes the mission and functions of the Office of Communications. The proposed revision makes it unclear whether OC is in the telecommunications business or is instead in the information handling business. Moreover, it is unclear from the language of the revised regulation whether or not ODP will continue to have a role in the design of the network architecture for information handling systems. ODP cannot concur with the proposed revision of [REDACTED]

STAT

3. OC will shortly be asked to comment on [REDACTED] which describes the mission and functions of the Office of Data Processing. OC may well find the ODP charter as troublesome as ODP finds the OC charter.

STAT

STAT

4. Rather than engage the two offices in protracted public debate on the assignment of responsibilities for information handling systems support, I suggest that further action on the proposed revisions to the regulations be set aside until senior Agency management has had a chance to more fully explore information systems.

[REDACTED]

STAT

ADMINISTRATIVE-INTERNAL USE ONLY